

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>		<b>Application No.</b> 09/920,827	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIM, JAI CHUL	
		<b>Examiner</b> THJUAN K ADDY		<b>Art Unit</b> 2614

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ANTIM SHAH.

(2) CAROL DRUZBICK.

**Date of Interview:** 3/30/2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 3:30 P.M.

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
40

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Ahmad F Matar/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 40 is currently depending on a claim 33. But the claim 33 has been cancelled. Attorney authorized amending claim 40 to change the dependency. So, the claim 40 should depend on claim 32 instead of cancelled claim 33. See examiner's amendment.